## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETER D'ARCY, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

YEHUDA SHMIDMAN, KAREN MURRAY, GARY KLEIN, ANDREW COOPER, CHAD WAGENHEIM, PETER LOPS, DAVID CONN, DANIEL HANBRIDGE, LORRAINE DISANTO, WILLIAM SWEEDLER, AARON HOLLANDER, AL GOSSETT, STEWART LEONARD, JR., and COHNREZNICK LLP,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:21-cv-07296

**CLASS ACTION** 

Honorable J. Paul Oetken

ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION

This matter having come before the Court on February 24, 2025, on Plaintiff's motion for

approval of the Plan of Allocation in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all

papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed of the matter;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

Case 1:21-cv-07296-JPO

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement

of Settlement dated October 30, 2025 (the "Stipulation"), and all capitalized terms used, but not

defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all persons who

are Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, advising them of the Plan of

Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all

persons and entities who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

3. The Court finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of

Authorized Claimants, which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of

Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which

to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established pursuant to the Stipulation among

the Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and

necessity.

4. This Court finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in the Notice, is,

in all respects, fair and reasonable and the Court approves the Plan of Allocation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 24, 2025

2